1 2	WAYNE P. STRUMPFER Acting California Corporations Commissioner ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717) Acting Deputy Commissioner	
3	Acting Deputy Commissioner SEAN M. ROONEY (CA BAR NO. 188843)	
4	Corporations Counsel DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS 320 West 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 750	
5	Los Angeles, California 90013-2344 Telephone: (213) 576-7500	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7		ENT OF CORPOR ATIONS
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS  OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	OF THE STATE	OF CALIFORNIA
10	In the Matter of	FILE NO. 925-2761
11	THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS	FINAL ORDER LEVYING
12	COMMISSIONER,	ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25252
13	Complainant,	
14	V.	
15	AUSTIN LEMOINE CAPITAL	
16	MANAGEMENT, (AUSTIN JOSEPH LEMOINE, DBA),	
17		
18	Respondent.	
19		
20	TO: Mr. Austin Joseph Lemoine, dba Austin Lemoine Capital Management	
21	1020 Guinda Street	
22	Palo Alto, California 94301	
23		///
24		
25		///
26		
27		
28		
		1
		1

2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Wayne P. Strumpfer, the Acting California Corporations Commissioner ("Commissioner") of the Department of Corporations ("Department"), alleges and charges as follows:

- 1. Austin Lemoine Capital Management (Austin Joseph Lemoine DBA) ("Austin") holds a valid and unrevoked investment adviser certificate issued by the Commissioner pursuant to Corporations Code section 25230 on March 4, 1998. Austin is an investment adviser business located at 1020 Guinda Street, Palo Alto, California 94301. Austin Joseph Lemoine is Austin's sole proprietor.
- 2. On or about February 26, 1999, the Commissioner commenced a regulatory examination of Austin. The examination revealed violations of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968 (Corporations Code section 25000 et seq.) and the regulations enacted thereunder (California Code of Regulations, title 10 section 260.000 et seq.).
- 3. These violations included Austin's failure to reconcile bank accounts and the failure to prepare and maintain monthly computations of net capital and aggregate indebtedness for Austin. (California Code of Regulations ("CCR"), Title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (a)(4) & (j)). An investment adviser is required to reconcile its bank accounts and to prepare and maintain monthly computations of net capital and aggregate indebtedness, in part, to provide the Department with a regulatory mechanism to validate a firm's liquidity and financial integrity to ensure that licensees maintain the necessary net capital for the protection of the public. Austin's violation of these books and records provisions prevents the Department from determining as part of its regulatory exam, if Austin meets the capital requirements imposed by the Corporate Securities Law of 1968 and the regulations enacted thereunder.
- 4. Corporations Code section 25241 provides that investment advisers are required to maintain books and records that are subject to examination by the Commissioner. Section 25241 provides, in relevant part, as follows:

[E]very investment adviser licensed under Section 25230 shall make and keep such accounts, correspondence, memoranda, papers, books, and other records and shall file such financial and other reports as the commissioner by rule requires, . . .

5. California Code of Regulations, Title 10, section	on 260.241.3 sets forth the specific books
and records required to be maintained by investment advise	rs. Subdivisions (a)(4) and (j) of section
260.241.3 provide as follows <sup>1</sup> :	
<ul><li>(a) Every licensed investment adviser shall make ar following books and records relating to such person</li><li>(4) All check books, bank statements, cancelled che investment adviser.</li></ul>	's investment advisory business:
(j) Any investment adviser who is subject to the min	nimum financial requirements of Section

- (j) Any investment adviser who is subject to the minimum financial requirements of Section 260.237.1 or Section 260.237.2 as applicable, shall, in addition to the records otherwise required under this section, maintain a record of the proof of money balances of all ledger accounts in the form of trial balances and a record of the computations of net capitals and aggregate indebtedness pursuant to Section 260.237.1 of these rules or minimum net worth pursuant to Section 260.237.2 of these rules (as of the trial balance date). The trial balances and computations shall be prepared currently at least once a month.
- 6. Prior to April 3, 2003, California Code of Regulations, Title 10, section 260.237.1 set forth the capital requirements for investment advisers. Subdivision (a)(2) of section 260.237.1 provided, in relevant part, as follows:
  - (a) No investment adviser who has any power of attorney from any investment advisory client to execute transactions . . . shall permit its total aggregate indebtedness to exceed 500% of its tangible net capital or permit its current aggregate indebtedness to exceed its current net capital; and,
  - (2) If the investment adviser has any power of attorney from any investment advisory client to execute transactions and does not have regular or periodic custody or possession of any of its investment advisory clients' securities or funds, except the receipt of prepaid subscriptions for periodic publications, or other investment advisory services, it shall at all times have and maintain tangible net capital of not less than \$5,000....

Subdivision (c) of section 260.237.1 provided that for purposes of section 260.237.1, subdivision (a), all financial information shall be determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Various provisions of the California Code of Regulations, Title 10, were amended and became effective April 3, 2003. This administrative action is based on violations that occurred under statutes and rules prior to the effective date of the amendments.

- 7. The Department's examiner found during the regulatory exam on February 26, 1999, that Austin did not reconcile its bank accounts. (California Code of Regulations, Title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (a)(4)). Additionally, Austin did not prepare and maintain trial balances and computations of net capital and aggregate indebtedness on a monthly basis. (California Code of Regulations, Title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (j)). Therefore, the Department was unable to determine at the time of the examination if Austin was in compliance with the net capital requirements under California Code of Regulations, Title 10, section 260.237.1.
- 8. On March 3, 1999, the Department sent Austin a regulatory letter notifying the firm of the exceptions discovered during the February 26, 1999 examination, including the violations of California Code of Regulations, Title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivisions (a)(4) and (j). Austin responded that he would acquire new accounting computer software and henceforth would use it to maintain the company's general ledger, from which bank statements would be reconciled and monthly trial balances and capital computations would be prepared.
- 9. The Department's examiner found during a subsequent regulatory exam on January 15, 2003, that Austin still failed to reconcile its bank accounts. (California Code of Regulations, Title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (a)(4)). Additionally, Austin did not prepare and maintain trial balances and computations of net capital and aggregate indebtedness on a monthly basis. (California Code of Regulations, Title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (j)). Therefore, the Department was unable to determine at the time of the examination if Austin was in compliance with the net capital requirements under California Code of Regulations, Title 10, section 260.237.1.
- 10. On April 17, 2003, Austin responded to the Department's regulatory letter noting the exceptions to the January 15, 2003 examination. Austin pledged to reconcile its bank statements and maintain monthly computations of the net capital balance and prepare written net capital reports as part of its advisory records.
- 11. The Department's examiner found during a subsequent regulatory exam on July 17, 2003, that Austin still failed to reconcile its bank accounts. (California Code of Regulations, Title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (a)(4)). Additionally, Austin did not prepare and maintain trial balances and computations of net capital and aggregate indebtedness on a monthly basis. (California

Code of Regulations, Title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (j)). Therefore, the Department was unable to determine at the time of the examination if Austin was in compliance with the net capital requirements under California Code of Regulations, Title 10, section 260.237.1.

- 12. On September 26, 2003, Austin responded to the Department's regulatory letter noting the exceptions to the July 17, 2003 examination. Austin stated that it believed it had adequately reconciled its bank statements and that its monthly computations of the net capital balance were adequate.
- 13. Corporations Code section 25252, which became effective January 1, 1999, authorizes the Commissioner to issue an order levying administrative penalties against any investment adviser for willful violations of any provision of the California Securities Law of 1968 and any rule promulgated thereunder. Specifically, Corporations Code section 25252 provides, in relevant part:

The Commissioner may, after appropriate notice and opportunity for hearing, by order levy administrative penalties as follows:

. . .

(b) Any broker-dealer or investment adviser that willfully violates any provision of this division to which it is subject, or that willfully violates any rule or order adopted or issued pursuant to this division and to which it is subject, is liable for administrative penalties of not more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for the first violation, not more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for the second violation, and not more than fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) for each subsequent violation.

WHEREFORE, good cause showing, and pursuant to Corporations Code section 25252, the commissioner finds that Austin Joseph Lemoine, d.b.a. Austin Lemoine Capital Management has willfully violated California Code of Regulations, Title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivisions (a)(4) and (j) and hereby orders Austin Joseph Lemoine, d.b.a. Austin Lemoine Capital Management to pay the Commissioner administrative penalties in the amount of \$2,250. Payment will be personally delivered to (or postmarked on or before July 3, 2006) the attention of Sean Rooney, Enforcement Division, the Department of Corporations, 320 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 750, Los Angeles, California, 91106. Otherwise Austin Lemoine Capital Management will be deemed by the Commissioner to be

1	in violation of the Order Levying Administrative Penalties Pursuant to Corporations Code 25252 and	
2	may be subject to further action provided for by law.	
3		
4	Dated: May 30, 2006	
5	Los Angeles, California	
6	WAYNE P. STRUMPFER	
7	Acting California Corporations Commissioner	
8	P <sub>V</sub> .	
9	By:ALAN S. WEINGER	
10	Acting Deputy Commissioner	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	6	
	<u>U</u>	